

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 WWW.USDTO.QOV

PATENT DEPARTMENT MACROVISION CORPORATION 2830 DE LA CRUZ BLVD. SANTA CLARA CA 95050

COPY MAILED

OCT 2 8 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Richard A. A. Haylen Application No. 09/916,146

Filed: July 26, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 204

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 2, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before September 4, 2008, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed June 4, 2008. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is September 5, 2008.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$1,510.00 and the publication fee of \$300.00, (2) the petition fee of \$1620.00; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

In regard to item (3), it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Also, the application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this

decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Christopher Bottorff at (571) 272-6692.

This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

Christopher Bottorff Petitions Examiner

Chita Both

Office of Petitions

cc: Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018